

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 1 is amended.

Claims 1-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The Examiner stated that claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6437818 to Ludwig et al., (hereinafter “Ludwig”), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5754765 to Danneels, Gunner et al., (hereinafter “Danneels”).

Applicant respectfully disagrees that Danneels teaches or suggests every limitation of the previously presented claims. For example, Applicant does not believe that Danneels teaches or suggests that the bundled endpoint address information is automatically obtained from each of the client devices based on associated services use when a new media type is added. Daneels does discuss in column 34, lines 55-63 determining all of the transports that are available. However, this is not the same as determining when a transport is added. After a transport is added, it may be available and Daneels could determine that. But Daneels is silent on disclosing determining when the transport is added.

For the reasons described above, Applicant respectfully believes the current independent claim, as well as the claims that depend from it, are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
WEST CORPORATION

Date: August 6, 2008

By: /Raffi Gostanian/

Appl. No. 10/776,459
Amdt. dated 08/06/2008
Reply to Advisory Action of 05/06/2008

Raffi Gostanian
Reg. No. 42,595
Tel: (972) 849-1310

11808 Miracle Hills Drive
Omaha, Nebraska 68154
(402) 965-7077